Photoproduction of η -mesons off the deuteron



electromagnetic excitation off the neutron

importance of measurements off the neutron:

- different resonance contributions
- needed for extraction of iso-spin composition of elm. couplings



• complications due to use of nuclear targets (deuteron):

- Fermi motion
- nuclear effects like FSI, re-scattering, coherent contributions

$\pi^o\text{-mesons}$ in the $\Delta\text{-resonance}$: coherent - breakup - FSI

large coherent cross section, strong FSI



- coherent part in good agreement with models (Kamalov et al. '97, Laget '81)
- Iarge FSI effects for breakup part
- agreement with model predictions reasonable

more recent models: better



TA P

- better control of FSI (most important: NN-FSI)
- Iarge FSI for neutral pions at forward angles



most simple qualitative interpretation: completeness relations

• systems with strong FSI: breakup cross sections no good estimate for free nucleon cross sections π_{1}

 N_2

- coherent and breakup related via FSI:
- breakup + coherent \approx quasi-free without FSI



а

-N₁

 N_2

N۵

 N_2

b

 N_2

 N_2

С

 no complication from coherent process, no significant FSI efffects, more or less controllable Fermi motion effects



resonances coupling to η photoproduction

branching ratios and elm. couplings (PDG):

state b_{η} [%] $A^p_{1/2}$ $A^p_{3/2}$ $A^n_{1/2}$ $A^n_{3/2}$ • D₁₃(1520):0.23±0.04-2416659139

-15

29

-33

133

- S₁₁(1535): 30 55 90 -46
- S₁₁(1650): 3 10 53 -15
- $D_{15}(1675)$: 0 ± 1 19 15 -43 -58
- $F_{15}(1680)$: 0 ± 1
- D₁₃(1700): 0 ± 1
- P₁₁(1710): 6.2±1.0
- P₁₃(1720): 4±1
- D₁₅(1675) has stronger electromagnetic coupling to the neutron than to the proton but parameters quite uncertain:

 $A_{1/2}^{p}$ =6 - 34, $A_{3/2}^{p}$ =3-30, $A_{1/2}^{n}$ =-(21-57), $A_{3/2}^{n}$ =-(30-77) b_{η} =0 - 1% (PDG), b_{η} =17% (ETA-MAID, Chiang et al.)

interference structure in S₁₁-sector?



Data:

- TAPS: B. Krusche et al., PRL74 (1995) 3736
 - GRAAL: F. Renard et al., PLB528 (2002) 215
 - CLAS: M. Dugger et al., PRL89 (2002) 222002
- Crystal Barrel: V. Crede et al., PRL94 (2005) 012004



what is expected for $n(\gamma, \eta)n$ - why is it interesting?

previous data from MAMI only at lower

incident photon energies

- total cross sections for proton and neutron from MAID model with and without $D_{15}(1675)$ (Eta-MAID, W.T. Chiang et al., NPA 700 (2002) 429)
 - full model MAID, proton $d(\gamma,\eta)X, \sigma_n/\sigma_n(E_{\gamma})$ no D₁₅(1675), proton $d(\gamma,\eta)X, \sigma_n/\sigma_n(E_{\gamma})$ و[hub] 1.5 ⁴He(γ , η)X, $\sigma_n/\sigma_n(E_{\gamma})$ ⁴He(γ , η)X, $\sigma_{n}/\sigma_{n}(E_{\lambda})$ MAID full model σ_n/σ_p 10 MAID only S₁₁(1535) full model MAID, neutron no D₁₅(1675), neutron 0.5 800 900 0 700 1000 1500 1600 1700 1800 E_v[MeV] W[MeV]
- predictions from chiral soliton models: P_{11} -like state of the anti-decuplet has strong photon-coupling to the neutron and large ηN decay branching ratio

experimental setups - Ball, Barrel and TAPS and ...







TAPS Crystal Ball - at MAMI









Identification of η -meson production (exclusive)

- decay channel: $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^o \rightarrow 6\gamma$
- select events with 7 hits
- invariant mass off all photon pairs
- cut on π^o invariant mass
- select best combination of
 6γ to $3\pi^o$ by χ^2 -test
- use π^o mass as constraint, construct $3\pi^o$ invariant mass
- cut on $3\pi^o$ invariant mass
- missing mass analysis to remove $\eta\pi$ final states etc. treat recoil nucleon as missing particle: $m^2 = (\mathbf{P}_{\gamma} + \mathbf{P}_N - \mathbf{P}_{\eta})^2$,





TAPS

Nucleon Identification CB

inner detector:

- 3 layers of scintillating fibers
- cylindrical shape
- proton:
- 2 or 3 layers match a hit in the CB
- -neutron:
- no layer has fired



B. Krusche, Narrow Nucleon Resonances, Edinburgh, June 2009



Nucleon Identification TAPS

taps veto detector:

- 5 mm plastic scintillator
- individual for each BaF₂ crystal

proton:

veto hit in front of BaF₂ crystal + E vs TOF

neutron:

no veto hit in front of BaF₂ crystal + E vs TOF



B. Krusche, Narrow Nucleon Resonances, Edinburgh, June 2009



quasifree η -photoproduction off the deuteron (PhD thesis I.Jaegle)

• cross section for $\gamma n \rightarrow \eta n$ from two analyses with very different systematics: (1) η in coincidence with recoil neutrons

(2) difference of inclusive cross section and η in coincidence with recoil protons



comparison of free and quasi-free cross sections

quasi-free total cross sections corrected for Fermi smearing with correction factors calculated by folding known free proton cross section, respectively ETA-MAID prediction with momentum distribution of bound nucleons.

result:

in S₁₁(1535) peak below 0.9 GeV perfect agreement between free and quasi-free proton data and quasi-free neutron data scaled by 2/3.

Fit parameters for S_{11} Breit-Wigner: proton:

W=1538 MeV, Γ =157 MeV, $A_{1/2}^{p}$ =103 neutron:

W=1538 MeV, Γ =148 MeV, $A_{1/2}^{n}$ =85

narrow structure around 1 GeV in neutron/proton ratio, width is only upper bound



angular distributions



fit of angular distributions



result:

- all coeffi cients similar for proton and neutron above 1.25 GeV
- A_o coeffi cient: dominance of S₁₁ resonances, for neutron small shoulder around 1 GeV
- A₁ coeffi cient: interference S₁₁, P₁₁?
- A₂ coeffi cient: interference S₁₁ - D₁₃ resonance



Bonn-Gatchina model analysis

basis: coupled channel isobar analysis with background terms



- different scenarios to reproduce 'bump' structure:
 - left: interference in S_{11} -sector: adjusting phases etc.
 - middle: introduction of conventional (broad) P₁₁ resonance
 - right: introduction of very narrow P₁₁ resonance



de-folding of Fermi smearing

- for events with neutron in TAPS ($cos(\Theta_{\eta}^{\star}) < -0.1$) neutron energy from time-of-flight
- comparsion: W from photon energy (Fermi smeared) -W from nucleon - meson 4-vectors (resolution smeared)
- de-folded proton cross section similar to free proton, de-folded neutron cross section shows structure around 1.7 GeV: position: W=1683 MeV width: Γ=60±10 MeV (resolution dominated)





new preliminary results from MAMI C: reaction identification



cut on co-planarity



preliminary excitation functions (PhD thesis D. Werthmüller)

• $W = f(E_{\gamma}), 130 < \Delta \Phi < 220$



• $W = f(E_{\gamma}), 170 < \Delta \Phi < 190$



0.9 = 0.8 = 0.9



• $W = f(n, \eta), 130 < \Delta \Phi < 220$

• $W = f(n, \eta), 170 < \Delta \Phi < 190$



other channels: photoproduction of π^o mesons (MAMI-C)

(master thesis M. Dieterle)

- very preliminary (no normalization, no efficiency corrections)
- no structure in neutron excitation function around 1 GeV ?



other channels: photoproduction of π^o mesons (MAMI-C)

- very preliminary (no normalization, no efficiency corrections)
- no structure in neutron excitation function around 1 GeV ?



other channels: photoproduction of π^{o} -pairs (MAMI-C)

(master thesis M. Oberle)

- very preliminary (no normalization, no efficiency corrections)
- more statistics needed (already measured but not yet analyzed)



other channels: photoproduction of $\pi^o \pi^{\pm}$ -pairs (MAMI-C)

(master thesis M. Oberle)

- very preliminary (no normalization, no efficiency corrections)
- more statistics needed (already measured but not yet analyzed)



future: polarization observables

• MAID predictions for $E_{\gamma}=1$ GeV with and w/o D_{15} (data: xs: ELSA, Σ : GRAAL)



expected sensitivity (MAMI: E, T, F; ELSA: ∑, G, H, P)



Summary

systematic investigation of meson photoproduction off the deuteron:

- photoproduction of η -mesons:
 - narrow structure in excitation function off neutron (width pprox 30 MeV)
 - also seen at GRAAL/Grenoble and LNS/Tohoku
 - almost certainly not a nuclear effect
 - at MAMI-C also seen in photoproduction off ³He
 - nature not yet determined
 - measuements of polarization observables upcoming
- other channels:
 - analysis off $\gamma n \to n \eta'$ finished
 - analysis off

$$\gamma n \to n \pi^o, \to n \pi^o \pi^o, \to p \pi^o \pi^-, \to n \pi^o \eta$$
 under way

main contributions from:

I. Jaegle D. Werthmüller M. Oberle M. Dieterle

